



Sanchar Nigam Pensioners' Welfare Association

Reg.No: SOCIETY/WEST/2021/8902564

CHQ: B-11/1, Ramesh Nagar, New Delhi-110015, India



snpwachq.com



snpwachq@gmail.com

K.D Sebastian
CHQ President
+91 9447144100

G.L.Jogi
General Secretary
+91 9868217799

S.S. Rajput
Treasurer
+91 9413394204

SNPWA/CHQ/Director CGHS/2/2025

Date: 10.07.2025

To

Dr Sateesh. Y. H.,

Director/ CGHS,

New Delhi.

Subject: Irregularities in Nomination of LAC Members by CGHS Hyderabad – Violation of DG CGHS Guidelines and Marginalization of Major Pensioners' Associations

Respected Sir

Greetings from the Sanchar Nigam Pensioners' Welfare Association (SNPWA), a recognized and widely represented body of BSNL pensioners across the country.

We are compelled to bring to your kind attention serious irregularities and glaring deviations from the established norms in the recently released list of Local Advisory Committee (LAC) members by the Office of the Additional Director, CGHS Hyderabad, vide letter dated 26.06.2025, covering all CGHS Wellness Centres in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana.

Despite clear instructions issued by the Directorate, CGHS New Delhi, particularly vide Letter No. S.11030/19/2023-EHS dated 17.03.2023, as well as by the Additional Director CGHS Hyderabad vide letter No.AD/CGHS/HYD/LAC/2025/2402-403 dated 25.03.2025, the process of nominating LAC members has not adhered to the principles of fair representation, transparency, or beneficiary proportion. We respectfully highlight the following key issues:

1. Violation of One-Term Restriction (Visakhapatnam)

Officials have been nominated for a second consecutive term as LAC member, in clear violation of the DG CGHS instruction which categorically states that no member shall be nominated for a second term. Officials having been nominated in previous tenure have been renominated. These re-nominations undermines the rotation principle and denies other Associations a fair chance to represent.

2. Unfair and Biased Representation (Visakhapatnam)

Both WC-1 and WC-2 in Visakhapatnam have 4 LAC members, all exclusively from Naval Dockyard, while none have been selected from BSNL, Postal, or RMS pensioners' associations, despite our Associations representing a significantly larger share of CGHS beneficiaries. This complete exclusion is unacceptable, discriminatory, and contrary to the norms.

3. Marginalization of BSNL Pensioners at Rajahmundry

Despite BSNL Pensioners forming the majority of CGHS beneficiaries at Rajahmundry, not even one representative from BSNL or DoT Associations has been nominated. This reversal of representational logic must be urgently corrected.

4. Improper Initial Nomination of Service Employee at Vijayawada

At Vijayawada, a serving employee was initially nominated under the pensioner quota, which is not just irregular but also defies the very purpose of LAC formation. Though this was later corrected upon our intervention, BSNL Pensioners—who form a major chunk of beneficiaries—continue to be denied representation.

5. Ignoring Major Associations at Guntur

At Guntur WC, once again, an Association has been favored, ignoring Postal, RMS, and BSNL pensioners' associations, even though BSNL Pensioners have a much larger presence among CGHS beneficiaries.

6. Selective Interpretation of Guidelines

It is shocking to observe that across multiple centers (Visakhapatnam, Rajahmundry, and Guntur), a particular Association has been consistently favored, while recognized and larger associations like BSNL Pensioners' Associations have been repeatedly overlooked. The very essence of representation—i.e., "number of beneficiaries represented"—has been flagrantly disregarded.

7. Non-Compliance with Official Communication

The Additional Director's own communication dated 25.03.2025 explicitly instructed CMOs to nominate two members “ *keeping in view the number of beneficiaries they represent* .” Ignoring this criterion reflects a clear lack of transparency and fairness in the process.

Our Humble Appeal

We, therefore, earnestly request your good self to:

- 1. Review and regularize the entire list of LAC members** nominated by CGHS Hyderabad in strict compliance with the DG CGHS norms.
- 2. Ensure equitable representation to all major recognized pensioners' associations** , especially those representing a larger base of CGHS beneficiaries like BSNL, Postal, and RMS Associations.

3. **Withdraw irregular re-nominations and avoid favoritism** to any one association at the cost of larger, officially recognized Associations.

4. **Reinforce adherence to the principle of rotational representation** and avoid nomination of the same person for successive terms.

We are enclosing herewith a data sheet detailing the current list of nominated LAC members and highlighting discrepancies in each Wellness Centre.

We trust that your kind intervention will ensure justice, fairness, and transparency in the functioning of CGHS, thereby upholding the dignity and rights of thousands of Central Government pensioners, especially in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana.

With high regards and hopeful anticipation,

Yours sincerely,



(G. L. Jogi)

Copy to:

The Additional Director, CGHS, Hyderabad.